

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/699,689	Applicant(s) MATTILA, JYRKI	
	Examiner DUNG LAM	Art Unit 2617	

All Participants:

 (1) DUNG LAM.

 (2) Majid S. Albassam (Registration No.54749).
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2011
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

19, 21 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed an examiner amendment of adding limitations from claim 21 to claim 19 and adding claim 21 to claim 27 to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's attorney approved the proposed examiner amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Dung Lam/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)